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ABSTRACT
In recent years, Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access (OFDMA) has become an attractive transmission technology, which is part of various emerging system standards for broadband cellular communications. Examples include the 3GPP Long Term Evolution (LTE) and 802.16e WiMAX. In OFDMA, mobile terminals are multiplexed in time and frequency. A major problem in these systems is the inter-cell interference, which is caused by neighboring cells when transmitting on the same time and frequency slots. This problem can be solved by using beamforming antennas and coordinating the transmissions among base stations. This is known as interference coordination. In this paper, we present a distributed algorithm for interference coordination, which enhances the cell edge performance with global information provided by a central coordinator. The signaling delay during the communication with the central coordinator can be on the order of seconds, while an additional local interference coordination in each base station ensures a high performance even in dynamic environments. This combination of global and local coordination enhances the overall spectral efficiency as well as the cell edge performance compared to a Reuse 3 system.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Orthogonal Frequency Division Multiple Access (OFDMA) is the basis for several emerging standards for wireless broadband communication. In particular, it is the underlying transmission technology for 802.16e (WiMAX) and the future 3GPP Long Term Evolution (LTE). In OFDMA, the different users are multiplexed in time and frequency based on an underlying OFDM system. Therefore, OFDMA is basically a combination of Frequency and Time Division Multiple Access (FDMA and TDMA). A major problem in these systems is the inter-cell interference, which is caused by neighboring cells when transmitting in the same frequency/time slots. This eventually leads to severe performance degradation or even connection loss.

There exist several approaches to mitigate inter-cell interference. The most common approach is to employ a frequency reuse pattern and avoid the usage of the same frequency bands in adjacent cells. The disadvantage of this scheme is the waste of precious frequency resources. Instead, it is desirable to reuse the whole available frequency spectrum in every cell. Another possibility to lower inter-cell interference is to use beamforming antennas, which direct their transmission power towards the currently served mobile terminal. This minimizes interference towards other mobile terminals. Last but not least, the transmissions in different cells can be coordinated to optimize the interference situation in all cells. This is referred to as interference coordination (IFCO). All these approaches can be combined to optimize the system performance in a dynamic fashion [13].

In [11] we introduced an interference coordination algorithm which is based on an interference graph. This graph represents critical interference relations among mobile terminals. If two mobile terminals in different cells have a critical relation, they may not be served on the same frequency/time resource. This scheme requires a central omniscient entity which is capable to acquire the system state instantly and perform scheduling decisions in all cells on a per-frame basis. Naturally, such a scheme is not implementable. However, it provides important information about the key performance parameters and also delivers an estimate of the upper performance bound.

In [13], we limited this interference graph based scheme to the coordination of the cell sectors served by the same base station. This was combined with Fractional Frequency Reuse (FFR), which applies a frequency reuse of 1 in the inner portions of the cell and a frequency reuse of 3 in the outer portions. This combination can achieve the same aggregate
cell throughput as the global scheme from [11], but it falls short with respect to the throughput at the cell borders.

This paper has two main contributions. First, we considerably enhance the global scheme of [11] by an optimized generation of the interference graph. Second, based on these optimizations, we present a novel interference coordination scheme named *Coordinated Fractional Frequency Reuse*, which achieves a high aggregate and cell border performance at the same time. This scheme does not require an omni-scient device which performs scheduling decisions every frame. Instead, it relies on the communication of the base stations with a central entity on a much longer time scale, e.g., on the order of seconds. Therefore, the proposed scheme is well implementable in a real system.

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 introduces the considered 802.16e system and its simulation model. Section 3 rehearses the global scheme from [11] and presents an optimized generation of the interference graph. Subsequently, section 4 briefly introduces the concept of FFR and derives the proposed coordinated FFR scheme. Finally, section 5 presents a thorough performance evaluation of the coordinated FFR scheme, and section 6 concludes the paper.

## 2. SYSTEM MODEL

### 2.1 Overview of transmission system

We consider an 802.16e-system [10] with a total available system bandwidth of 10 MHz and a MAC-frame-length of 5 ms. This results in a total number of 49 OFDM-symbols per MAC-frame and 768 data subcarriers per OFDM-symbol. Each MAC-frame is subdivided into an uplink and a downlink subframe. Both subframes are further divided into zones, allowing for different operational modes. In this paper, we focus on the Adaptive Modulation and Coding (AMC) zone in the downlink subframe. In particular, we consider the AMC 2x3 mode, which defines subchannels of 16 data subcarriers by 3 OFDM-symbols. This is illustrated in the left part of Fig. 1. A subchannel corresponds to the resource assignment granularity for a particular mobile terminal. The AMC zone can therefore be abstracted by the two-dimensional resource field shown in the right part of Fig. 1.

We assume the AMC zone to consist of 9 OFDM-symbols, corresponding to a total number of 48 · 3 available subchannels. Adaptive Modulation and Coding was applied ranging from QPSK 1/2 up to 64QAM 3/4. This results in a theoretical maximum data rate of about 6.2 Mbps within the AMC zone. The burst profile management is based on the exponential average of the terminal’s SINR conditions with channel feedback delay of one MAC-frame.

### 2.2 Simulation model and metrics

We consider a hexagonal cell layout comprising 19 base stations at a distance of $d_{BS} = 1400$ m with $120\,^{\circ}$ cell sectors as shown in Fig. 2. The scenario is simulated with wrap-around, making all cells equal with no distinct center cell. All cells were assumed to be synchronized on a frame level. Throughout our paper, we evaluate the shaded observation area when investigating the cell coverage, and the average of all cell sectors when considering throughput metrics. Besides the total sector throughput, we evaluate the 5% throughput quantile, which is a good indication for the achievable throughput in the cell border areas [3]. It is captured by measuring the average short-term throughput of each terminal within 4-second periods and calculating the quantile over all measurements. All throughput measures were taken on the IP-layer, capturing all overhead caused by fragmentation, padding, and retransmissions.

Every base station has 3 transceivers, each serving one cell sector. The transceivers are equipped with linear array beamforming antennas with 4 elements and gain patterns according to [11]. They can be steered towards each terminal with an accuracy of 1° degree, and all terminals can be tracked ideally.

### 2.3 Scenario and simulation parameters

The system model was implemented as a frame-level simulator using the event-driven simulation library IKR Sim-Lib [1] with all relevant MAC protocols, such as ARQ and HARQ with chase combining. The path loss was modeled according to [8], terrain category B. Slow fading was considered using a log-normal shadowing model with a standard deviation of 8 dB. Frame errors were modeled based on BLER-curves obtained from physical layer simulations.

![Figure 1: Illustration of the AMC 2x3 mode](image1.png)

![Figure 2: Hexagonal cell layout with wrap-around](image2.png)
Each sector contains $N$ mobile terminals moving at a velocity of $v = 30$ km/h. The underlying mobility model is a random direction model with a mean free path length of 50 m and a maximum turning angle of 25°. All mobile terminals are bound to their respective cell sector in order to avoid handovers. A greedy traffic source is transmitting data towards each terminal, i.e., there is always data available to be transmitted for a terminal (see also [11]).

3. INTERFERENCE GRAPH BASED INTERFERENCE COORDINATION

3.1 Basic Concept

In [11], a scheme for global interference coordination in a cellular OFDMA network was proposed. It is based on an interference graph whose nodes represent the mobile terminals, and whose edges represent critical interference relations in-between the terminals. Terminals which are connected must not be served using the same set of resources. For each terminal, the interference from base stations within a certain diameter $d_{ic}$ of the serving base station is calculated. Afterwards, the largest interferers are blocked from using the same set of resources by establishing a relation in the interference graph. This is done such that a desired minimum SIR $D_S$ is achieved for each terminal. For a detailed description, please refer to [11].

The original scheme in [11] assumed a central omniscient device which is capable of acquiring the system state instantly and perform scheduling decisions on a per-frame basis. Naturally, such a scheme is not implementable. However, it provides important information about the key performance parameters and also delivers an estimate of the upper performance bound.

3.2 Performance

The two major configurable parameters of the interference graph based scheme are the desired minimum SIR $D_S$ and the coordination diameter $d_{ic}$. We refer to $d_{ic} = 0$ as zero-tier coordination, indicating a coordination only among sectors of the same base station. Alike, a one-tier coordination means a coordination of neighboring base station sites, i.e., $d_{ic} = d_{BS}$, and a two-tier coordination implies a global coordination of all cells in our scenario.

Figure 3 plots the 5% throughput quantile over the aggregate throughput per cell [13]. The zero-tier coordination, which can be implemented locally within a base station, achieves a significant improvement compared to the Reuse 3 scenario with respect to the overall cell sector throughput, but falls short with respect to the throughput quantile, i.e., with respect to the cell edge performance. As the number of coordinated tiers increases, the performance with respect to both the aggregate throughput and the throughput quantile increases.

3.3 Relation to graph coloring problem

Global interference coordination based on the interference graph is directly related to the graph coloring problem [12]. In the graph coloring problem, each node in a graph needs to be assigned one color such that no connected nodes are assigned the same color. If the colors correspond to non-overlapping resources on the air interface, then the solution
of the graph coloring problem corresponds to the assignment of disjoint resources on the air interface to nodes which have a relation in the interference graph. In general, the graph coloring has to be recomputed whenever the interference graph changes, i.e., every frame.

Let $M$ be the chromatic number of the interference graph, i.e., $M$ is the number of colors which are required to solve the graph coloring problem. Then, for each scheduling round, the air interface resources need to be divided into $M$ disjoint partitions. Each of these partitions is then assigned to a mobile terminal based on its assigned color. Note that a solution of the problem requires at least $N$ colors, where $N$ is the number of mobile terminals in each cell sector. In general, $M$ will be larger than $N$, making more disjoint resource partitions necessary than there are mobile terminals to serve. Consequently, the resource utilization in a cell sector will drop and in general be $\rho = N/M < 1$.

Graph coloring is an NP-hard problem. Various heuristics have been proposed to find near-optimal solutions. In this paper, we use the heuristic Dsatur [7] and a Tabu search technique [9] to obtain colorings. While Dsatur is quite fast, Tabu search obtains much better solutions, though at the cost of a highly increased computational complexity.

In contrast to these heuristics for the classical coloring problem, the resource assignment heuristic from [11], which was also used to generate the results of section 3.2, solves a variant of the graph coloring problem. It differs from the just described original graph coloring problem in that only a certain number $N_F < N$ of mobile terminals is served within each scheduling round. In other words, only $N_F$ colors are used, and only $N_F$ mobile terminals need to be assigned a color. This leads to a much better resource utilization $\rho$ as compared to when using a full coloring as described in this section, and consequently to a better system performance.

In both cases, the resource utilization $\rho$ depends on the structure of the interference graph. In particular, it depends on the vertex degree of all nodes. For the full coloring as described above, a higher vertex degree will lead to a larger chromatic number $M$ and a lower resource utilization $\rho$. Likewise, the performance of the coloring heuristic from [11] will suffer from a larger vertex degree. The most important parameters having an impact on the vertex degree are the coordination diameter $d_c$ and the minimum desired SIR $D_S$. If $d_c$ or $D_S$ are increased, the vertex degree and hence the chromatic number $M$ increases, leading to a lower resource utilization $\rho$. This is illustrated in Fig. 4, which plots the mean vertex degree of a node in the interference graph depending on the position of the corresponding mobile terminal. The figure illustrates the increase in the vertex degree for an increase of $d_c$ or $D_S$.

In the following section, we will evaluate ways to construct the interference graph in such a way that the vertex degree and hence $M$ is reduced, eventually leading to a better resource utilization.

### 3.4 Performance Optimization

The results from [13], which were rehearsed in the previous sections, show that a one-tier or two-tier coordination with a fairly low desired SIR $D_S$ achieves an excellent cell edge performance while falling short with respect to the aggregate throughput. On the other hand, a zero-tier coordination provides an increased aggregate performance while falling short with respect to the throughput quantile. Both configurations feature an interference graph with a relatively small vertex degree compared to the optimum case of a two-tier coordination with $D_S = 10$ dB, as can be seen from Fig. 4. This suggests that a separate generation of zero-tier and one/two-tier interference graphs and a subsequent merging of both graphs will lead to a lower vertex degree as in the two-tier case while providing an optimized SIR within the area. As discussed before, a lower vertex degree will lead to a lower chromatic number of the interference graph and hence potentially increase the system performance. Merging of the two graphs is done simply by including an edge in the merged graph whenever one of the two original graphs contains an edge.

The performance of a system with a global coordination based on the combination of interference graphs is plotted in

---

**Figure 5:** 5% throughput quantile over aggregate sector throughput for different values of $D_{S,o}$ and $D_{S,i} = \{15; 20; 25\}$ dB. Left: one-tier outer graph, Right: two-tier outer graph.
Fig. 5 for a combination of a zero-tier interference graph with a one-tier (left) and a two-tier (right) interference graph. We denote the zero-tier graph as inner graph, which is generated for a desired minimum SIR $D_{S,i}$, and the one/two-tier graph as outer graph, generated for a desired minimum SIR $D_{S,o}$.

Figure 5 shows a strong dependence of the system performance on $D_{S,o}$. As we increase $D_{S,o}$ from $-5$ dB in the one-tier case, we first observe a performance increase at $D_{S,o} = 0$ dB, while it decreases for $D_{S,o} = 5$ dB. For $D_{S,o} = 10$ dB the performance significantly improves again, and finally decreases for $D_{S,o} = 15$ dB and larger values.

The two separate maxima can be explained by the superposition of the curves in Fig. 3, which show maxima for different values of $D_S$. This superposition is caused by the merging of the interference graphs.

The combination of zero-tier and one-tier interference graphs (Fig. 5 left) has two optimal configurations. For $D_{S,o} = 0$ dB, the cell border performance is maximized, while for $D_{S,o} = 10$ dB the aggregate throughput is maximized. In contrast, the combination of zero-tier and two-tier interference graphs (Fig. 5 right) shows an optimal operating point for $D_{S,o} = 10$ dB which maximizes both the aggregate throughput and the cell border performance. This raises the spectral efficiency of the global scheme by more than one third to over 1.1 Bit/Hz and can be explained with the better control of the two-tier scheme over the interference in the cell border areas.

Figure 6 plots the throughput depending on the mobile terminal’s position for the global two-tier coordination according to section 3.1 and [11]. Figure 7 plots the same metric for the optimized coordination mechanism with combined zero-tier and two-tier coordination. We can observe an increased throughput at the cell borders but also a substantial performance increase in the central parts of the cell sectors.

4. COORDINATED FRACTIONAL FREQUENCY REUSE

In this section, we first give an overview over state-of-the-art fractional frequency reuse techniques. Subsequently, we introduce the concept of Coordinated Fractional Frequency Reuse.

4.1 Classical Fractional Frequency Reuse

A system with a frequency reuse factor of 1 achieves a high resource utilization of 100%, while suffering from heavy inter-cell interference in the cell border areas. On the other hand, a frequency reuse 3 system achieves acceptable interference conditions at the cell border, but has a resource utilization of only 1/3. One possibility to resolve this dilemma is Fractional Frequency Reuse (FFR). With FFR, a frequency reuse of one is applied in areas close to the base station, and a higher reuse factor in areas closer to the cell border. This idea was proposed for GSM networks (see for example [6]) and has consequently been adopted in the WiMAX forum [2], but also in the course of the 3GPP Long Term Evolution (LTE) standardization, e.g., in [5] and [4].

Figure 8: Schematic illustration of FFR with the same (top) and disjoint (bottom) resources for reuse 1 and reuse 3 areas.
4.2 Coordinated Fractional Frequency Reuse

While the aggregate throughput in an FFR system can be increased by performing an additional local interference coordination among the sectors served by one base station (zero-tier coordination), the interference from other base stations cannot be controlled. **Coordinated Fractional Frequency Reuse** overcomes this drawback by introducing a generalized frequency reuse pattern for mobile terminals at the cell border which is coordinated by a central entity. This concept is illustrated in Fig. 9.

Every cell communicates all data which is necessary to generate an interference graph as described in section 3 to the central FFR coordinator. This includes measured interference and pathloss components for all mobile terminals. The coordinator then performs a complete graph coloring as detailed in section 3.3. The result of the coloring process is a color index for every mobile terminal, which is transmitted back to the base stations. If \( N_C \) is the number of colors in the coloring (where \( N_C \leq M \) in general due to the sub-optimality of the graph coloring heuristics), the AMC-zone of a MAC frame is then divided into \( N_C \) parts, each corresponding to a reuse partition. This is illustrated in Fig. 10 on the right side. The Reuse 3 terminals at the cell border are then assigned to a partition depending on their color, while Reuse 1 terminals in the inner cell areas can still utilize the full AMC-zone. Alternatively, in order to avoid a fragmentation of the AMC-zone into very small partitions, the reuse partitions can be spread over several MAC-frames, as it is illustrated in Fig. 11 on the right side. In the following, the number of AMC-zones (i.e., the number of MAC-frames) which are required will be called a virtual frame duration. In our example of Fig. 11, the AMC-zone of a single frame is divided into \( N_F = 4 \) partitions, and the virtual frame duration is \( \lceil N_C/N_F \rceil = \lceil N_C/4 \rceil \).

In order for this scheme to be practical, the communication with the FFR coordinator needs to be limited. Figure 12 shows a signaling-time diagram of the communication with the FFR coordinator. The base stations report the data which is required to build the interference graph with an update period of \( T_{C, \text{period}} \). After a certain delay \( T_{C, \text{delay}} \), the coloring of the FFR coordinator arrives at the base stations and is then valid until the arrival of the next coloring. The delay \( T_{C, \text{delay}} \) includes all signaling delays,
the processing delay in the FFR coordinator, and also all necessary synchronization delays.

Coordinated FFR ensures a coordinated allocation of resources to Reuse 3 terminals, i.e., to mobile terminals at the cell border. This global coordination is done on a larger time-scale due to the signaling delays described above. In order to make the system more agile, we perform an additional local coordination in every base station (zero-tier coordination), which coordinates the transmission of all Reuse 1 terminals. This local coordination can operate on up-to-date system state information of all cell sectors served by the respective base station.

The procedure is summarized as follows. First, all Reuse 3 terminals are reserved resources in their respective reuse partition (cmp. Fig. 11). Second, in every frame, the regular zero-tier coordination scheme is applied in every base station, obeying all conflicts in the inner interference graph. This is repeated periodically. Note that the scheduling and resource assignment is still done on a per-frame basis. If a Reuse 3 terminal does not need to be scheduled in its reserved reuse partition, the idle resources can be used by Reuse 1 terminals if allowed by the local interference coordination. This allows for a high resource utilization while at the same time ensuring good interference conditions for mobile terminals at the cell border.

4.3 Degrees of freedom

The described coordinated fractional frequency reuse has several degrees of freedom. Besides the desired SIR $D_{S,o}$ and $D_{S,i}$ and the coordination diameter $d_{ic}$ for the outer graph, the SINR-thresholds $th_{up}$ and $th_{low}$ for the assignment of mobile terminals to Reuse 1 or Reuse 3 areas in the FFR scheme are configurable parameters (see [13]). Moreover, it is possible to perform the graph coloring based on the combined inner and outer graphs, or purely on the outer graph (i.e., based on a classical one/two-tier graph as described in [11]), while the local interference coordination is always based on the locally generated zero-tier graph.

5. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

This section evaluates the performance of the proposed Coordinated FFR. Section 5.1 first investigates the performance of Coordinated FFR under ideal signaling conditions. Next, section 5.2 assesses the performance with realistic signaling delays. Finally, section 5.3 shows the impact of the mobility scenario, and section 5.4 demonstrates the impact of the graph coloring heuristic.

5.1 Performance under ideal signaling conditions

In this section, we will first evaluate the performance of Coordinated FFR under ideal signaling conditions, i.e., $T_{C, delay} = 0$, and $T_{C, period}$ is equal to the virtual frame duration. Figure 13 plots the 5% throughput quantile over the aggregate throughput for different values of $D_{S,o}$ and $D_{S,i}$ for a coloring based on the combined inner and outer graphs. $th_{up}$ and $th_{low}$ were set to 25dB and 15dB, respectively. The left chart shows the performance if the outer coordination by the FFR coordinator is based on a one-tier coordination, whereas the right chart shows the results with an outer two-tier coordination. The coloring heuristic applied in the FFR coordinator was Dsatur [7].

In general, the performance with a one-tier coordination is slightly better when it comes to the throughput quantile, and the two-tier coordination is slightly better when it comes to the aggregate throughput. When comparing these results to a system with global coordination as described in section 3, the performance is significantly worse. However, we should mention once more that a globally coordinated system is not implementable and only serves as a performance reference. We therefore have to compare the performance of Coordinated FFR to the Reuse 3 system and to a system with classical FFR, which are both state-of-the-art. For $D_{S,o} = 0$ dB and $D_{S,i} = 20$ dB, the cell border performance of Coordinated FFR is comparable to the cell border performance of the Reuse 3 system, and significantly better than the cell border performance of the system with classical FFR. With respect to the aggregate throughput, we can achieve an improvement of about 45—55% over the Reuse 3 system at the same cell border performance.

To look deeper into the difference between coordinated FFR with outer one-tier and outer two-tier coordination, Fig. 14 plots the average number of colors $N_C$ over $D_{S,o}$ for both cases. In accordance with section 3.4, the number of required colors $N_C$ increases as the coordination diameter increases. This leads to an increase of the virtual frame duration. As Reuse 3 terminals are served only once in every virtual frame, the throughput for these terminals decreases significantly as $D_{S,o}$ and hence $N_C$ increases. On the other hand, this effect yields more available resources for the Reuse 1 terminals, which accounts for the higher aggregate throughput in the two-tier case.

Figure 15 shows a scatter plot of the system performance for different choices in the various degrees of freedom. It
becomes obvious that the aggregate throughput and the cell edge throughput can be traded off against each other with the appropriate parameter choice. In the following, we will limit our further studies to the parameter set which was already used in the previous paragraphs, which maximizes the aggregate throughput for $d_{sc} = 1$ while keeping the cell edge throughput at the same level as in the Reuse 3 system.

### 5.2 Impact of signaling delay and update period

This section considers the impact of the signaling delay and the update period on the system performance. Figure 16 plots the aggregate sector throughput (left) and the 5% throughput quantile (right) for different update periods $T_{C,period}$ and signaling delays $T_{C,delay}$.

In general, the impact of $T_{C,period}$ and $T_{C,delay}$ influences the throughput quantile much more than it does the aggregate throughput. This is expected, since the coloring information is used to coordinate mobiles at the cell border, while the aggregate performance is dominated by the local zero-tier coordination, which is not degraded by any signaling delays.

An increase of the delay $T_{C,delay}$ leads to a worse performance compared to an identical increase of the update period $T_{C,period}$, in particular for the throughput quantile. This is logical, since an increase of the update period implies outdated coloring information only for the later time points of the update period, while an increase of the signaling delay leads to outdated coloring information all the time.

In general, the throughput quantile and the aggregate throughput continuously decrease as $T_{C,delay}$ and $T_{C,period}$ increase to large values. However, for relatively small values of $T_{C,period}$ around 500 to 1000 ms, we note a slight increase in the aggregate throughput and the throughput quantile. This slight increase is a side effect, which results from the burst profile management. As described in section 2.1, the burst profile management is based on the exponential average of the terminal’s SINR conditions with channel feedback delay of one MAC-frame. If $T_{C,period} = 0$ ms, the mobile terminals will be assigned new colors in every virtual frame. In contrast, if $T_{C,period} > 0$ ms, new colors will be assigned less frequently, which means that mobile terminals are served in the same resource partition for a number of virtual frames. This leads to more stable SINR conditions and a better SINR estimation, and consequently to a better selection of the appropriate burst profile. As $T_{C,period} > 0$ ms increases further, the effect of obsolete color information becomes dominant and the performance decreases.

![Figure 13: 5% throughput quantile over aggregate sector throughput for different values of $D_{S,o}$ and $D_{S,i} = \{15; 20; 25\}$ dB. Coordinated FFR with ideal signaling conditions. Left: one-tier centrally coordinated by FFR Coordinator, Right: two-tier centrally coordinated by FFR Coordinator.](image1)

![Figure 14: Mean number of colors $N_C$ required for the coloring in the FFR coordinator with $D_{S,i} = 20$ dB.](image2)
Figure 16: Aggregate sector throughput (left) and 5\% throughput quantile (right) over update period $T_{C,\text{period}}$ for different delays $T_{C,\text{delay}}$. One-tier centrally coordinated, of $D_{S,o} = 0$ dB, $D_{S,i} = 20$ dB.

Figure 17 plots the throughput depending on the terminal position. Shown is the result for $T_{C,\text{delay}} = 1000$ ms, $T_{C,\text{period}} = 2000$ ms, and for a combined zero-tier/one-tier coordination with $D_{S,o} = 0$ dB and $D_{S,i} = 20$ dB. Compared to Fig. 6 and 7, it shows a less distinct throughput increase in the cell center areas, which is typical for FFR systems [13]. The graph also shows a smooth and rather symmetric degradation of the system performance from the cell center to the cell edge, with large well-to-medium covered areas.

5.3 Impact of terminal mobility

All results presented so far have been obtained in a high mobility scenario with mobile terminals moving at a speed of $v = 30$ km/h. When mobile terminals move at high speeds, the coloring information provided by the FFR coordinator becomes outdated relatively quickly. Hence, the signaling delay $T_{C,\text{delay}}$ and the update period $T_{C,\text{period}}$ have a big impact on system performance.

A major use case for 802.16e are slowly moving terminals, for example carried by pedestrians, or nomadic terminal usage, where users are stationary and occasionally relocate to a new position. For these scenarios it is directly obvious that the information provided by the FFR coordinator will become obsolete much slower. Therefore, the performance of the system will be much less sensitive to larger values of $T_{C,\text{delay}}$ and $T_{C,\text{period}}$.

5.4 Impact of graph coloring heuristic

In the previous sections, the coloring heuristic Dsatur [7] was applied. It is known that more sophisticated heuristics like Tabu search [9] deliver results where the number of required colors $N_C$ is much closer to the chromatic number $M$ of the graph. For our scenario, the coloring heuristic has only a minor impact. For the case of $T_{C,\text{delay}} = 1000$ ms and $T_{C,\text{period}} = 2000$ ms, the throughput performance with Tabu search is only slightly better than the performance with Dsatur. In particular, the 5\% throughput quantile remains almost unchanged, while the aggregate performance increases by about 1\%. The average number of colors $N_C$ decreases from 15.8 to 14.7, which means that the average virtual frame duration remains identical at $\lceil N_C/4 \rceil = 4$. Since Reuse 3 terminals are served only once every virtual frame duration, this points out why the throughput quantile does not increase.
efficiency of more than 1. In our example, the 802.16e system achieves an overall spectral performance bound of an interference coordinated system. In a distributed way, the communication with the central FFR coordinator can take place with realistic signaling delays on the order of seconds, while still maintaining a competitive performance even in scenarios with a high terminal mobility. In particular, the cell edge performance of a classical system with Fractional Frequency Reuse can greatly be improved to match or exceed the cell edge performance of a Reuse 3 system. At the same time, the spectral efficiency reaches more than 0.72 Bits/Hz/s, which is a 50\% improvement over the Reuse 3 system. Finally, we argued that the system performance will be even better when moving to more static scenarios, since signaling delays will have less impact.

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have first presented an optimized algorithm for global interference coordination in a cellular OFDMA network. Even though such an approach is not implementable since it requires almost instant communication among base stations, it delivers important performance values which can serve as an estimate for an upper performance bound of an interference coordinated system. In our example, the 802.16e system achieves an overall spectral efficiency of more than 1.1 Bit/Hz/s while maintaining an excellent cell edge throughput which is twice as large as in a classical Reuse 3 system with beamforming antennas.

In the second part of this paper, we proposed the concept of Coordinated Fractional Frequency Reuse, which bases the resource assignment in the outer areas of the cell on information from a central FFR coordinator. This scheme does not require a global omniscient device and can be implemented in a distributed way. The communication with the central coordinator can take place with realistic signaling delays on the order of seconds, while still maintaining a competitive system performance even in scenarios with a high terminal mobility. In particular, the cell edge performance of a classical system with Fractional Frequency Reuse can greatly be improved to match or exceed the cell edge performance of a Reuse 3 system. At the same time, the spectral efficiency reaches more than 0.72 Bits/Hz/s, which is a 50\% improvement over the Reuse 3 system. Finally, we argued that the system performance will be even better when moving to more static scenarios, since signaling delays will have less impact.
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Figure 17: Throughput in kBit/s depending on position for Coordinated FFR with \(D_{S,o} = 0\) dB and \(D_{S,t} = 20\) dB. \(T_{C,delay} = 1000\) ms, \(T_{C,period} = 2000\) ms.